

THE EFFECTIVENESS OF JUSTICE COLLABORATORS IN ASSISTING LAW ENFORCEMENT TO UNCOVER CORRUPTION CRIMES IN INDONESIA

Nirwan Sembiring¹, Nemos Muhadar², Yotham Th. Timbonga³, Poppy Andilolo⁴

¹²³⁴ Master of Law, Postgraduate Program, Universitas Kristen Indonesia Paulus, Indonesia.

E-mail: sembiringnirwan@ukipaulus.ac.id

Abstract

Corruption is a serious issue that threatens the stability and integrity of many countries, including Indonesia. One effective strategy in combating corruption is the use of Justice Collaborators, who are perpetrators of crimes that cooperate with law enforcement to uncover criminal activities. This study aims to evaluate the effectiveness of Justice Collaborators in aiding law enforcement to uncover corruption cases in Indonesia, identify the factors influencing their effectiveness, and explore the challenges faced. A normative juridical approach is used in this study, examining various relevant laws and regulations, and analyzing data from corruption cases involving Justice Collaborators. The findings show that Justice Collaborators play a significant role in providing deep insights into corruption networks, often difficult to uncover through conventional investigative methods. Factors influencing their effectiveness include protection and security, credibility and integrity of law enforcement, reward and sanction systems, social and media support, and clear legal and policy frameworks.

Keywords: justice collaborator, law enforcement, witness protection

1. Introduction

Corruption is one of the serious issues threatening the stability and integrity of various countries worldwide, including Indonesia. Corrupt practices not only harm the state's finances but also weaken the legal system, disrupt social order, and hinder economic development. In the fight against corruption, various strategies have been implemented by the government and law enforcement agencies. One approach considered effective is the use of a Justice Collaborator, which refers to a criminal witness who cooperates with law enforcement to uncover acts of corruption.

Justice Collaborators play a crucial role in providing in-depth and accurate information regarding corruption crimes, including the identities of other perpetrators, modus operandi, and involved networks. This cooperation is expected to expedite the process of case disclosure and lead to more effective law enforcement. However, the effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role in the context of law enforcement in Indonesia remains a subject of debate and requires further in-depth study.

This research aims to evaluate the effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role in assisting law enforcement in uncovering acts of corruption in Indonesia. Through a qualitative and quantitative approach, this study will analyze the contribution of Justice Collaborators to the investigation and prosecution processes, as well as identify various factors influencing their success.

According to the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK), Justice Collaborators have played a significant role in several major corruption cases in Indonesia. For instance, in corruption cases involving high-ranking officials, the information provided by Justice Collaborators successfully exposed extensive and complex corruption networks, which had previously been difficult to uncover through conventional investigations alone. Additionally, Justice Collaborators are recognized by law as one of the key mechanisms in enforcing corruption laws.

However, the implementation of the Justice Collaborator program is not without challenges. Some of the obstacles faced include the protection of criminal witnesses, the potential misuse of the Justice Collaborator status, and the integrity and independence of law enforcement officials. Witness protection often becomes a crucial issue due to threats to their safety. Inadequate security can reduce the willingness of perpetrators to cooperate with law enforcement. Moreover, the potential misuse of Justice Collaborator status by offenders who seek to reduce their sentences without making meaningful contributions is also a significant concern. Effective law enforcement also depends on the integrity and professionalism of law enforcement officers. Cases where officials are involved in corruption or receive bribes from offenders can undermine public trust in the Justice Collaborator program and the justice system as a whole. Therefore, a strong oversight mechanism is needed to ensure that this program is conducted with high transparency and accountability.

Additionally, support from the public and the media is essential in strengthening the Justice Collaborator program. Public education on the importance of criminal witnesses and reporting corruption cases can encourage more individuals to participate in combating corruption. The media plays a role in overseeing the legal process and providing objective information to the public. This research will also explore policies and practices in other countries that have successfully implemented the Justice Collaborator program. This comparative study is expected to provide new insights and innovative solutions that can be applied in Indonesia. Through a comprehensive analysis, this study aims to provide policy recommendations that can enhance the effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role in combating corruption in Indonesia.

2. Methodology

This research employs a normative juridical approach with a descriptive-analytical method. The normative juridical approach is used to analyze various laws and regulations related to the role of Justice Collaborators in corruption crimes. This method enables the researcher to understand and explain the applicable legal norms and how these norms are applied in practice. The data sources in this study consist of primary and secondary legal materials. Primary legal materials include laws, government regulations, and other related regulations, such as Law Number 31 of 1999 on the Eradication of Corruption Crimes and its implementing regulations. Secondary legal materials include books, scientific journals, articles, and research reports relevant to this research topic.

Data collection is carried out through library research to identify and review relevant literature. Data analysis is conducted by examining, comparing, and interpreting the collected legal materials. A qualitative approach is used to explore in-depth information regarding the implementation of Justice Collaborators, the obstacles encountered, and their effectiveness in

combating corruption crimes. Additionally, this research employs a case study method to examine corruption cases involving Justice Collaborators in Indonesia. These case studies will provide concrete illustrations of the role and contribution of Justice Collaborators in the investigation and prosecution processes of corruption crimes.

Data analysis is systematically conducted by comparing research findings with existing theories and concepts. The results of this analysis are expected to provide a more comprehensive understanding of the effectiveness of the role of Justice Collaborators in the context of law and law enforcement in Indonesia.

3. Discussion

A. The Effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's Role in Assisting Law Enforcement in Uncovering Corruption Crimes in Indonesia

A Justice Collaborator refers to a criminal offender who is willing to cooperate with law enforcement to uncover crimes, particularly in complex cases such as corruption. In the context of eradicating corruption in Indonesia, a Justice Collaborator plays a strategic role as they can provide insider information from within the criminal network, which is often difficult to uncover through conventional investigative methods. The information provided by a Justice Collaborator usually includes the identities of other perpetrators, *modus operandi*, and details of the criminal operation, which are crucial in helping law enforcement uncover corruption cases involving multiple parties and large sums of money.

Justice Collaborators provide key information that is highly valuable in the investigation and prosecution of corruption cases. This information includes the identities of other perpetrators, *modus operandi*, as well as details of the transactions used to carry out corruption crimes. With this information, law enforcement can more effectively build charges and trace the flow of funds and the involvement of various parties. For instance, in the corruption case involving the e-KTP project, the testimony from a Justice Collaborator helped expose a corruption network involving high-ranking officials and businesspersons. This information significantly aided the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) in identifying new suspects and revealing the *modus operandi* used in the criminal act.

Justice Collaborators also expedite the investigation process by providing direct leads to law enforcement. Without the cooperation of a Justice Collaborator, law enforcement might take much longer to find evidence and build a strong case. With direct information from the perpetrator, evidence gathering can be conducted more efficiently and swiftly. In some cases, Justice Collaborators have assisted the KPK in identifying the flow of corrupt funds through banking networks and complex financial transactions. This information allows the KPK to freeze assets and secure crucial financial evidence in corruption investigations.

In addition to providing information, Justice Collaborators often supply additional evidence such as documents, recorded conversations, and electronic data that support the investigation process. These pieces of evidence are critical in building a strong case in court. For example, recorded conversations between corrupt actors can serve as undeniable evidence in court.

The contribution of Justice Collaborators in the investigation and prosecution of corruption cases can be observed from several aspects:

1) Increased Success in Investigations

Data shows that the success rate of corruption investigations improves with the cooperation of Justice Collaborators. This success is measured by the number of cases uncovered, the number of suspects apprehended, and the amount of assets seized. Information from Justice Collaborators enables law enforcement to conduct more targeted and effective investigative operations.

2) More Effective Prosecution

In the prosecution process, testimony from a Justice Collaborator often becomes crucial in proving the defendant's involvement. Their testimony can provide a clear picture of the roles each party played in the corruption crime. This assists prosecutors in building strong charges and convincing the court of the defendant's guilt. A concrete example is in the corruption case in the infrastructure sector, where testimony from a Justice Collaborator successfully proved the involvement of several officials and contractors in bribery and embezzlement of project funds. This testimony served as a strong basis for the court to impose fair penalties.

3) Uncovering Wider Networks

Justice Collaborators not only help in exposing individual cases but also assist in dismantling broader corruption networks. Information from Justice Collaborators often leads to the discovery of larger corruption networks involving many actors from various levels and sectors. Consequently, law enforcement can develop more effective strategies to combat corruption comprehensively.

4) Increased Public Trust

Success in uncovering and prosecuting corruption cases with the assistance of Justice Collaborators also contributes to increased public trust in the law enforcement system. The public perceives that law enforcement is serious about tackling corruption and is utilizing all available means to uncover the truth. This public trust is crucial in creating an environment conducive to eradicating corruption.

Although the role of a Justice Collaborator is crucial, there are several challenges in its implementation. One of the main challenges is ensuring legal and physical protection for the Justice Collaborator. Threats to their safety and that of their families often hinder full cooperation from the perpetrators. Therefore, a strong and effective witness protection system is required to guarantee their safety. Another challenge is ensuring strict oversight and regulation in the use of Justice Collaborators. This is to prevent the misuse of the status by perpetrators who seek to reduce their sentences without providing meaningful contributions to the investigation. Strict verification and evaluation mechanisms must be applied to ensure that the Justice Collaborator is genuinely providing valuable information. The implementation of the Justice Collaborator program requires adequate resources, including funding, personnel, and technology. Without sufficient resource support, it is challenging to provide the necessary protection and to effectively carry out this program. The government needs to ensure that the required budget and resources are available and properly managed.

B. Factors Influencing the Effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's Role in Uncovering Corruption Crimes in Indonesia

The effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role in uncovering corruption crimes in Indonesia is influenced by various factors. Below are some of the key factors that affect this effectiveness:

1) Protection and Security:

Adequate protection for the Justice Collaborator is crucial to ensure that they feel safe and are willing to provide relevant information. This protection includes physical security, legal protection, and psychological support. Threats to their safety can diminish the willingness of perpetrators to cooperate with law enforcement. The case of Novel Baswedan illustrates the importance of protection for those involved in exposing corruption, including Justice Collaborators.

2) Credibility and Integrity of Law Enforcement:

The success of the Justice Collaborator program heavily depends on the credibility and integrity of law enforcement officers. If law enforcement is involved in corruption or accepts bribes, it can undermine public and perpetrator trust in the judicial system. Strict oversight and firm actions against breaches of integrity are necessary to maintain the credibility of law enforcement.

3) Reward and Sanction Systems:

Clear and fair rewards for Justice Collaborators who provide valuable information can encourage more perpetrators to cooperate. This reward system can include sentence reductions, witness protection, or financial compensation. Conversely, sanctions for those who abuse the status of Justice Collaborator should also be implemented to prevent misuse.

4) Social and Media Support:

Support from the public and the mass media can enhance the effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role. The media plays a role in providing objective information and monitoring the legal process, while social support can reduce the negative stigma against Justice Collaborators. Effective public education campaigns can help change societal perceptions about the important role of Justice Collaborators in combating corruption.

5) Clear Legal and Policy Framework:

A clear and comprehensive legal and policy framework is essential to support the implementation of the Justice Collaborator program. Detailed implementing regulations regarding procedures, rights, and obligations of Justice Collaborators are necessary to ensure consistent and effective implementation. Ambiguities in regulation can hinder the investigation and prosecution processes.

Inadequate protection often becomes a major obstacle. Threats to the safety of the Justice Collaborator and their family can deter them from cooperating. Cases of attacks against law enforcement and witnesses demonstrate the urgent need for strong and effective protection. The implementation of the Justice Collaborator program requires adequate resources, including funding, personnel, and technology. A lack of resources can hinder law enforcement's ability to provide sufficient protection and effectively carry out the program. The government needs to ensure that the necessary resources are available and properly managed.

The negative stigma against Justice Collaborators as traitors or informants can discourage offenders from cooperating. Public education and social campaigns are needed to change this perception and enhance public understanding of their crucial role in combating corruption. Cases

where law enforcement officials are involved in corruption or accept bribes can undermine public trust in the Justice Collaborator program and the judicial system as a whole. Strict oversight and firm action against officers who breach integrity are essential to maintaining the credibility of law enforcement.

To optimize the role of Justice Collaborators in the criminal justice system in Indonesia, several measures need to be taken. The government must develop more effective protection mechanisms for Justice Collaborators and their families. This includes physical protection, legal protection, and psychological support. Strong protection mechanisms can encourage offenders to cooperate with law enforcement. Law enforcement needs clear and detailed guidelines on the procedures and policies related to the use of Justice Collaborators. The government should issue comprehensive implementing regulations to support the program's implementation. Clear and consistent regulations can ensure effective and fair execution.

Public education campaigns are necessary to reduce the negative stigma against Justice Collaborators. The public needs to understand the importance of their role in combating corruption and support law enforcement efforts. Public education through mass media and social programs can help change this negative perception. The government must ensure that the resources needed for the implementation of the Justice Collaborator program are available and well-managed. This includes adequate funding, personnel, and technology. Sufficient resources can support effective protection and successful program implementation. The success of the Justice Collaborator program heavily depends on the integrity and professionalism of law enforcement officers. Strict oversight and firm action against integrity violations are necessary to maintain the credibility of law enforcement. Cases where law enforcement officers are involved in corruption must be handled seriously to restore public trust. Lessons from other countries that have successfully implemented the Justice Collaborator program can be adapted and applied in Indonesia. Better protection mechanisms, detailed policy guidelines, and sufficient resource support are some of the key elements that need to be strengthened. This comparative study can provide new insights and innovative solutions that can be implemented in Indonesia.

4. Conclusion

The effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role in uncovering corruption crimes is influenced by various factors. Adequate protection and security for the Justice Collaborator and their family are crucial to encouraging their participation. The credibility and integrity of law enforcement are also key factors that determine the success of this program. Additionally, a fair system of rewards and sanctions, social and media support, as well as a clear legal and policy framework, all contribute to the program's effectiveness. However, the implementation of the Justice Collaborator program in Indonesia still faces several challenges. Inadequate protection, lack of resources, social stigma, and occasionally questionable law enforcement credibility are some of the main obstacles that need to be addressed. Efforts such as enhancing protection mechanisms, strengthening regulations and policies, addressing social stigma through public education, and providing adequate resources are essential to optimizing the role of the Justice Collaborator.

Justice Collaborators have significant potential to assist law enforcement in uncovering corruption crimes in Indonesia. By overcoming existing challenges and implementing the identified efforts, their role can be optimized to support a more effective and efficient criminal justice system in combating corruption. This research is expected to make a meaningful contribution to the efforts of eradicating corruption crimes in Indonesia by enhancing the effectiveness of the Justice Collaborator's role.

5. References

- Adami Chazawi. (2002). *Pelajaran Hukum Pidana Bagian 1*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers
- Amalia, R. (2021). "Ancaman Fisik dan Psikologis terhadap Justice Collaborator di Indonesia." *Jurnal Kriminologi*, 14(4), 78-92
- Amir Ilyas. (2012). *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana*. Yogyakarta: Rangkang Educatio
- Andi Hamzah. (2004). *Hukum Acara Pidana Indonesia Edisi Revisi*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Arief, B.N. (2018). "Masalah Ketidakpercayaan Penegak Hukum terhadap Justice Collaborator." *Jurnal Hukum Pidana*, 23(1), 34-47
- Arya Maheka. *Mengenali & Memberantas Korupsi*. Jakarta: Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi Republik Indonesia
- Badan Pengembangan dan Pembinaan Bahasa. (2022). *Kamus Besar Bahasa Indonesia*
- Bank Dunia. (2020). *Corruption and Economic Growth*
- C. Djisman Samosir. (1985). *Hukum Acara Pidana dalam Perbandingan*. Bandung: Bina Cipta
- Darwin Prinst. (2002). *Hukum Acara Pidana dalam Praktik*, cet 3. Jakarta: Djambatan
- Dwi Saputra. (2004). *Tiada Ruang Tanpa Korupsi*. Semarang: KP2KKN Jawa Tengah
- Evi Hartanti. (2010). *Korupsi: Konsep, Tindak Pidana, dan Pemberantasannya*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Firman Wijaya. (2012). *Whistle Blower dan Justice Collaborator dalam Perspektif Hukum*. Jakarta: Penaku
- H. Loebby Loqman. (1995). *Percobaan, Penyertaan dan Gabungan Tindak Pidana*. Jakarta: Universitas Tarumanegara UPT Penerbitan
- Handayani, T. (2019). "Peran Media dalam Mendukung Pemberantasan Korupsi di Indonesia." *Jurnal Komunikasi*, 14(4), 289-304
- Haris, S. (2021). "Peran Justice Collaborator dalam Kasus Korupsi di Indonesia." *Jurnal Hukum dan Keadilan*, 12(3), 327-345
- Idris, M. (2019). "Kekurangan Pengaturan Justice Collaborator dalam KUHP." *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 29(2), 101-115
- Ilyas Chatzis. (2010). *Praktik Terbaik Perlindungan Saksi dalam Proses Pidana yang Melibatkan Kejahatan Terorganisir*. Jakarta: Lembaga Perlindungan Saksi dan Korban

- Juwenie; Miru, Ahmadi; Sumardi, Juajir; Paserangi, Hasbir. (2016). "Consumer protection in e-commerce transactions in Indonesia." *JL Pol'y & Globalization*, 47, 131
- Komisi Pemberantasan Korupsi. (2022). "Laporan Tahunan KPK 2021."
- Leden Marpuang. (2005). *Asas-Asas, Teori, Praktik Hukum Pidana*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Lembaga Perlindungan Saksi dan Korban. (2022). "Laporan Tahunan LPSK 2021."
- Lestari, A. (2020). "Edukasi Publik dan Pemberantasan Korupsi: Peran dan Tantangan." *Jurnal Sosial dan Politik*, 19(1), 123-139
- Lilik Mulyadi. (2015). *Perlindungan Whistleblower dan Justice Collaborator*. Bandung: PT. Alumni
- Luhut MP Pangaribuan. (2005). *Hukum Acara Pidana: Surat-Surat Resmi di Pengadilan oleh Advokat*. Jakarta: Djambatan
- Lumentut, Lisma, and Liberthin Palullungan. (2023). "The Reconstruction of the Implementation from Business Judgment Rule Doctrine in Individual Limited Liability Companies: A Progressive Legal Review." *SASI*, 29(4), 656-666
- Lumentut, Lisma, and Rantau Padallo. (2021). "Pemanfaatan Tanah Ulayat Untuk Pendirian Tondok Di Kabupaten Mamasa." *Paulus Law Journal*, 2(2), 62-71
- Lumentut, Lisma. (2019). "Hak Anak Dalam Sistem Kewarisan Adat Masyarakat Sangla'boran Kabupaten Toraja Utara." *Paulus Law Journal*, 1(1)
- M. Yahya Harahap. (2006). *Pembahasan Permasalahan dan Penerapan KUHAP Penyidikan dan Penuntutan*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Mahkamah Agung Republik Indonesia. (2011). Surat Edaran Mahkamah Agung Nomor 4 Tahun 2011 tentang Perlakuan Bagi Pelapor Tindak Pidana (Whistle Blower) dan Saksi Pelaku yang Bekerjasama (Justice Collaborator) di dalam Perkara Tindak Pidana Tertentu.
- Martiman Prodjohamidjojo. (1983). *Sistem Pembuktian dan Alat-Alat Bukti*. Jakarta: Ghalia
- Marzuki, P.M. (2016). *Penelitian Hukum*. Jakarta: Kencana
- Maulana, F. (2021). "Insentif Finansial bagi Justice Collaborator dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana." *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Hukum*, 15(1), 55-69
- Moeljatno. (2002). *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana*. Jakarta: Rineka Cipta
- Moleong, L.J. (2017). *Metodologi Penelitian Kualitatif*. Bandung: PT Remaja Rosdakarya
- Moro, S. (2018). "Operation Car Wash: The Role of Plea Bargaining in Brazil's Anti-Corruption Efforts." *Journal of Latin American Studies*, 50(2), 297-31
- Munir Fuady. (2006). *Teori Hukum Pembuktian, Pidana dan Perdata*. Jakarta: Citra Aditya
- Nugroho, S. (2021). "Implementasi Peraturan Justice Collaborator dalam Penegakan Hukum di Indonesia." *Jurnal Regulasi*, 11(4), 301-319
- P.A.F Lamintang. (1997). *Dasar-Dasar Hukum Pidana Indonesia*. Bandung: Citra Aditya Bakti

- Pasapan, Pasolang, and Lisma Lumentut. (2021). "Penerapan Asas *Rechtsverwerking* Dalam Perolehan Hak Atas Tanah Menurut Peraturan Pemerintah Nomor 24 Tahun 1997 Tentang Pendaftaran Tanah (Kajian Hukum Terhadap Putusan Peninjauan Kembali Mahkamah Agung Nomor: 336 Pk/Pdt/2015)." *Paulus Legal Research*, 1(1)
- Prasetyo, A. (2022). "Kendala dan Tantangan dalam Implementasi Justice Collaborator di Indonesia." *Jurnal Hukum & Pembangunan*, 52(3), 459-480
- Purwanto, D. (2019). "Kasus Novel Baswedan dan Implikasinya bagi Perlindungan Penegak Hukum." *Jurnal Politik Hukum*, 8(1), 111-120
- Putri, A. (2021). "Stigma Sosial terhadap Justice Collaborator di Indonesia." *Jurnal Sosial dan Politik*, 11(2), 112-126
- Rachman, F. (2020). "Anggaran Perlindungan Saksi dan Tantangan Implementasinya." *Jurnal Ekonomi dan Kebijakan Publik*, 15(2), 217-229
- Rahmawati, L. (2020). "Ketersediaan Bukti dalam Kasus Korupsi dengan Justice Collaborator." *Jurnal Penelitian Hukum*, 20(3), 88-102
- Robert Klitgaard. (2001). *Membasmi Korupsi*. Jakarta: Yayasan Obor Indonesia
- Simanjuntak, R. (2018). "Kasus Korupsi Aparat Penegak Hukum: Sebuah Evaluasi." *Jurnal Hukum dan Pembangunan*, 28(2), 233-250
- Soekanto, S. & Mamudji, S. (2001). *Penelitian Hukum Normatif: Suatu Tinjauan Singkat*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada
- Sudrajat Bassar. (1986). *Tindak Pidana Tertentu di dalam Kitab Undang-Undang*
- Suhandi Cahaya & Surachmin. (2011). *Strategi Dan Tehnik Korupsi Mengetahui Untuk Mencegah*. Jakarta: Sinar Grafika
- Sunggono, B. (2006). *Metode Penelitian Hukum*. Jakarta: RajaGrafindo Persada
- Suparman, H. (2021). "Perlindungan Saksi Pelaku dalam Sistem Peradilan Pidana." *Jurnal Kriminologi Indonesia*, 13(2), 215-232
- Sutrisno, E. (2020). "Harmonisasi Regulasi Perlindungan Justice Collaborator dalam Sistem Hukum di Indonesia." *Jurnal Hukum dan Keadilan*, 12(3), 45-60
- Teguh Prasetyo. (2011). *Hukum Pidana*. Jakarta: Rajawali Pers
- Transparency International. (2021). *Corruption Perceptions Index*.
- Undang-Undang Nomor 13 Tahun 2006 jo. Undang-Undang Nomor 31 Tahun 2014 tentang Perlindungan Saksi dan Korban Indonesia, Kitab Undang-undang Hukum Acara Pidana (KUHAP)
- Undang-Undang Nomor 8 Tahun 1981 tentang Hukum Acara Pidana.
- Undang-Undang Republik Indonesia Nomor 71 Tahun 2000 tentang Tata Cara Pelaksanaan Peran Serta Masyarakat dan Pemberian Penghargaan dalam Pencegahan dan Pemberantasan Tindak Pidana Korupsi.

- Wijaya, D. (2020). "Integritas dan Profesionalisme Aparat Penegak Hukum dalam Pemberantasan Korupsi." *Jurnal Integritas*, 6(1), 45-61
- Wijaya, H. (2019). "Koordinasi Antar Lembaga Penegak Hukum dalam Kasus Korupsi." *Jurnal Hukum dan Administrasi*, 13(2), 66-79
- Wirjono. (1986). *Asas-Asas Hukum Pidana di Indonesia*. Bandung: Ersesco
- Yulianto, A. (2020). "Pengurangan Hukuman bagi Justice Collaborator: Kebijakan dan Implementasi." *Jurnal Hukum Pidana*, 27(4), 99-113